

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/776,370	Applicant(s) ACHESON ET AL.
	Examiner Sathyaranarayan Pannala	Art Unit 2164

All Participants:(1) Sathyaranarayan Pannala.**Status of Application:** Pending

(2) _____.

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2009**Time:** 10:30 am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

31, 34, 37 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sathyaranarayan Pannala/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative for compact prosecution and suggested to combine claims 34, 40 with 31 and 37 respectively to make independent claims 31 and 37 parallel to claim 43. Applicant agreed and authorized in consultation with client for an Examiner's Amendment to expedite the prosecution.